arXiv:1502.06533v2 [math.DG] 17 Aug 2015

NAMBU STRUCTURES AND ASSOCIATED BIALGEBROIDS

SAMIK BASU, SOMNATH BASU, APURBA DAS, AND GOUTAM MUKHERJEE

Abstract. It is well known that Lie algebroid structures on a smooth vector bundle A are in one-to-one correspondence with Gerstenhaber brackets on the graded algebra of multisections of A. In this paper we introduce the notion of an n-Gerstenhaber algebra and prove a generalization of the above correspondence in the context of n-Lie algebroids. It is well known that Lie algebroid structures on a smooth vector bundle A are in one-to-one correspondence with linear Poisson structures on its dual bundle A^*. We introduce a notion of linear Nambu structure of order n on a smooth vector bundle A and prove that if A admits a linear Nambu structure of order n then its dual A^* is an n-Lie algebroid. We also introduce the notion of Lie-Filippov bialgebroid as an n-ary generalization of Lie bialgebroid. A motivating example of Lie-Filippov bialgebroid is provided by a Nambu-Poisson manifold. Furthermore, we prove that if a smooth vector bundle admits a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure of order n, then the base manifold is equipped with a canonical Nambu-Poisson structure of order n.

1. Introduction

This paper investigates properties of n-ary structures on smooth manifolds and smooth vector bundles for $n \geq 3$. In 1985, Filippov [2] introduced n-Lie algebras as an n-ary generalization of Lie algebras. These are also referred to as Filippov algebras. This notion is also relevant in the context of Nambu mechanics [9]. There is growing interest to understand n-ary generalizations of the concepts of Lie algebras and Poisson manifolds. In [3] the authors introduced the concept of a Filippov algebroid – an n-ary generalization of Lie algebroid.

It is well known [7] that Lie algebroid structures on a smooth vector bundle A over M are in one-to-one correspondence with Gerstenhaber brackets on the graded algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$. The bracket together with the standard wedge product of multisections makes $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$ a Gerstenhaber algebra. In this paper, we prove a version of this result for n-Lie algebroids by introducing the concept of n-Gerstenhaber algebra as an n-ary generalization of a Gerstenhaber algebra (cf. Theorem 3.5).

1.1. **Theorem.** *Let A be a smooth vector bundle over M. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of n-Lie algebroid structures on A and the set of all n-Gerstenhaber brackets on the graded commutative, associative algebra $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A), \wedge)$ making it an n-Gerstenhaber algebra.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B62, 17B63, 53C15, 53D17.
Key words and phrases. n-ary operation, Nambu-Poisson bracket, Gerstenhaber bracket, Lie bialgebroid.

We introduce a notion of Linear Nambu structure of order n on a smooth vector bundle and show that if a bundle admits such a structure then its dual admits structure of an n-Lie algebroid.

The notion of Lie bialgebroid, introduced by Mackenzie and Xu [8], is a generalization of both Poisson manifolds and Lie bialgebras. Recall that a Lie bialgebroid is a pair (A, A^*) of Lie algebroids in duality, where the Lie bracket of A satisfies a compatibility condition expressed in terms of the differential d_* on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$, i.e.,

$$d_*[X, Y] = [d_*X, Y] + [X, d_*Y],$$

for all $X,\ Y \in \Gamma A$.

We note that if M is a Poisson manifold then the Lie algebroid structures on TM and T^*M form a Lie bialgebroid. On the other hand, it is well known [5,8] that if (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid over a smooth manifold M then there is a canonical Poisson structure on the base manifold M.

Nambu-Poisson manifolds are n-ary generalizations of Poisson manifolds introduced by Takhtajan [9]. It is natural to ask the following question:
Does there exist some notion of bialgebroid associated to a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n > 2$?

It is well-known that [10] for a Nambu-Poisson manifold M of order $n \geq 2$, the space $\Omega^1(M)$ of 1-forms admits an n-ary bracket, called Nambu-form bracket, such that the bracket satisfies almost all the properties of an n-Lie algebra bracket except that the fundamental identity is satisfied only in a restricted sense. We summarize the properties of this bracket to observe that the Nambu-form bracket on $\Omega^1(M)$, together with the usual Lie algebroid structure on TM give rise to a notion which we call a *weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure of order n, $n > 2$, on TM*. This motivates us to introduce a notion of *weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure of order n* on a smooth vector bundle (cf. Definition 5.5).

In order to classify such structures, we formulate a notion of *Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order n* and prove that weak-Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structures of order n, $n > 2$, on a smooth vector bundle A over M, are in bijective correspondence with Nambu-Gerstenhaber brackets of order n on the graded commutative, associative algebra $\Gamma\Lambda^\bullet A^*$, where A^* is the dual bundle (cf. Definition 5.7, Theorem 5.8).

We observe that for a Nambu-Poisson manifold M of order $n > 2$, the Nambu-Gerstenhaber bracket on $\Omega^\bullet(M)$, extending the Nambu-form bracket on $\Omega^1(M)$ satisfies certain suitable compatibility condition similar to the compatibility condition of a Lie bialgebroid (cf. Proposition 5.12). This motivates us to introduce a notion of a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure of order n on a smooth vector bundle as a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure of order n, satisfying a compatibility condition (cf. Definition 6.2). Thus, given a Nambu-Poisson manifold M of order $n > 2$, we conclude that (TM, T^*M) is a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structure of order n on TM (cf. Corollary 6.3). A Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n may be viewed as an n-ary generalization of the notion of Lie bialgebroid.

In [11], Xu proved that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebroid structures on a smooth vector bundle A and strong differential Gerstenhaber algebra structures on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$. We introduce an n-ary generalization of a strong differential Gerstenhaber algebra and prove (cf. Proposition 6.6) a version of the above correspondence for a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n.

It is well known [5,8] that if (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid over a smooth manifold M then there is a canonical Poisson structure on the base manifold M. It is, therefore, natural to expect that if (A, A^*) is a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid over M, then M would admit a canonical Nambu-Poisson structure. We prove that this is indeed the case (cf. Theorem 6.9).

1.2. **Theorem.** *Let (A, A^*) be a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n over a smooth manifold M for $n \geq 3$. Then there is a canonical Nambu-Poisson structure of order n on M such that the anchor $a : A \to TM$ of the Lie algebroid A is a morphism of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids $(A, A^*) \to (TM, T^*M)$. If there is a morphism $(A, A^*) \to (B, B^*)$ of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids, the corresponding induced Nambu-Poisson structures on M are the same.*

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we recall some basic definitions and fix notations. In §3, we introduce the notion of n-Gerstenhaber algebra and prove Theorem 1.1, a classification result for n-Lie algebroids. In §4, we introduce linear Nambu structures of order n on a smooth vector bundle A as a generalization of linear Poisson structures and show that if A is equipped with such a structure then the dual bundle A^* is an n-Lie algebroid (Theorem 4.2). In §5, we introduce the notion of weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n \geq 3$, classify such structures on a smooth vector bundle and prove that if M is a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n ($n \geq 3$) then the pair (TM, T^*M) forms a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n over M. Finally, in §6, we introduce the notions of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids of order n, strong Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebras of order n, classify Lie-Filippov bialgebroid structures of order n on a smooth vector bundles and prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions, notations and results. Most of these ideas are from [2], [3] and [7].

Let $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denote the space of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold M. The notion of a Lie algebroid is a generalization of Lie algebras and tangent bundle of a smooth manifold.

2.1. **Definition.** A *Lie algebroid* is a smooth vector bundle $p : A \to M$ equipped with
(i) a Lie algebra structure $[\ ,\ ]_A$ on the space of $\Gamma(A)$ of smooth sections of p;
(ii) a vector bundle map $a : A \to TM$ over M (called the anchor) such that the induced $C^\infty(M)$-linear map $a : \Gamma(A) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a map of Lie algebras and satisfies the derivation law:

$$[X, fY]_A = f[X, Y]_A + a(X)(f)Y$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Recall the definition of cohomology of a Lie algebroid with coefficients in a given representation ∇ on a vector bundle E.

2.2. **Definition.** The cohomology of A with coefficients in ∇ denoted $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(A,\nabla)$ is the cohomology of the cochain complex $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*\otimes E), d_A)$ where the differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_A\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_{k+1}) &= \sum_{r=1}^{k+1}(-1)^{r+1}\nabla_{X_r}(\phi(X_1,\cdots,X_{r-1},\widehat{X_r},X_{r+1},\cdots,X_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1\leq r<s\leq k+1}(-1)^{r+s}\phi([X_r,X_s]_A,X_1,\cdots,\widehat{X_r},\cdots,\widehat{X_s},\cdots,X_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular when $E=M\times\mathbb{R}$ and $\nabla=a$ the anchor map (called the the trivial representation), the above cochain complex is denoted by $\{\Gamma(\Lambda^kA^*),d_A\}_{k\geq 0}$ and the corresponding cohomology by $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(A)$.

Note that when A is the tangent bundle Lie algebroid then the coboundary with trivial representation is precisely the de Rham cohomology operator.

Recall that a Gerstenhaber (also known as Schouten) algebra is defined as follows. For a graded object $\mathcal{A}=\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{A}^i$, if $a\in\mathcal{A}^i$, then $|a|$ denotes the degree i of a.

2.3. **Definition.** Let R be a ring and let C be an R-algebra. A *Gerstenhaber algebra* over C is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative, associative C-algebra $\mathcal{A}=\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{A}^i$ endowed with a R-bilinear bracket (called Schouten bracket or Gerstenhaber bracket)

$$[\ ,\ ]:\mathcal{A}^i\otimes\mathcal{A}^j\to\mathcal{A}^{i+j-1}$$

satisfying

1. $[a,b]=-(-1)^{(|a|-1)(|b|-1)}[b,a]$,
2. $(-1)^{(|a|-1)(|c|-1)}[[a,b],c]+(-1)^{(|b|-1)(|a|-1)}[[b,c],a]+(-1)^{(|c|-1)(|b|-1)}[[c,a],b]=0$,
3. $[a,b\wedge c]=[a,b]\wedge c+(-1)^{(|a|-1)|b|}b\wedge[a,c]$.

For each fixed $a\in\mathcal{A}^{|a|}$, $[a,\ ]$ is a derivation with respect to $\wedge$ of degree $(|a|-1)$. For the relabeling of $\mathcal{A}$ given by $\mathcal{A}^{(i)}=\mathcal{A}^{i+1}$, a Gerstenhaber algebra $\mathcal{A}$ may be viewed as a graded Lie algebra.

It is a well known fact that given a Lie algebroid A over a smooth manifold M, the algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)=\oplus_k\Gamma(\Lambda^kA)$ of multisections endowed with the generalized Schouten bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra [7]. Moreover, the collection of Lie algebroid structures on a smooth vector bundle $A\to M$ are in one to one correspondence with the set of all Gerstenhaber brackets on the graded commutative associative algebra $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A),\wedge)$ making it a Gerstenhaber algebra.

Recall that the n-ary generalization of Lie algebras are called n-Lie algebras or Filippov algebras of order $n\geq 2$.

2.4. **Definition.** An *n-Lie algebra* (or a *Filippov algebra of order n*) is a vector space $\mathcal{B}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, together with a $\mathbb{R}$-multilinear map

$$[\ ,\ldots,\ ]\colon \underbrace{\mathcal{B}\times\cdots\times\mathcal{B}}_{n\,\text{times}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$$

which is alternating, i.e.,

$$[a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)}] = \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$$

for $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ and satisfies the generalized Jacobi identity (also called the fundamental identity)

$$[a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, [b_1, \ldots, b_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, [a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_i], \ldots, b_n],$$

for all $a_i, \ b_j \in \mathcal{B}$.

Like Lie algebroid we have the notion of an n-Lie algebroid defined as follows:

2.5. **Definition.** Let M be a smooth manifold. An *n-Lie algebroid* (or a *Filippov algebroid of order n*) over M, is a smooth vector bundle $p : A \to M$ such that
(i) the space of smooth sections ΓA admits an n-bracket $[\ , \ldots, \ ]$ which makes $(\Gamma A, [\ , \ldots, \ ])$ an n-Lie algebra;
(ii) there exists a vector bundle morphism $\rho\colon \Lambda^{n-1}A \to TM$ over M (called the *anchor*) satisfying

$$[\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1}), \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})$$

and

$$[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, fY] = f[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y] + \rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1})(f)Y,$$

for all $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y \in \Gamma A$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Clearly, any n-Lie algebra may be considered as an n-Lie algebroid over a point with zero anchor map. More examples of Filippov algebroids may be found in [3].

Recall that a *Nambu-Poisson* manifold is a generalization of the notion of *Poisson* manifolds and is defined as follows [10].

2.6. **Definition.** Let M be a smooth manifold. A *Nambu-Poisson bracket* of order n ($2 \leq n \leq \dim M$) on M is an n-multilinear mapping

$$\{, \ldots, \}\colon C^\infty(M) \times \cdots \times C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

satisfying the following:

(1) Alternating:
$\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} = \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\{f_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, f_{\sigma(n)}\}$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$;
(2) Leibniz rule:
$\{fg, f_2, \ldots, f_n\} = f\{g, f_2, \ldots, f_n\} + g\{f, f_2, \ldots, f_n\}$;
(3) Fundamental identity:

$$\{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, \{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g_i\}, \ldots, g_n\}$$

for $f_i, g_j, f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

The pair $(M, \{\ , \ldots, \ \})$ is called a *Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n*.

2.7. *Remark.* Note that Poisson manifolds are Nambu-Poisson manifolds of order 2.

Given a Nambu-Poisson bracket, one can define an n-vector field $P \in \Gamma(\Lambda^n TM)$, called the corresponding Nambu-Poisson tensor, by

$$P(df_1, \dots, df_n) = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\},$$

for $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^\infty(M)$.

3. Classification of Filippov algebroid structures

Given a Lie algebroid A over a smooth manifold M, the algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A) = \oplus_k \Gamma(\Lambda^k A)$ of multisections endowed with the generalized Schouten bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra [7]. Moreover, the collection of Lie algebroid structures on a smooth vector bundle $A \to M$ are in one to one correspondence with the set of all Gerstenhaber brackets on the graded commutative associative algebra $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A), \wedge)$ making it a Gerstenhaber algebra. The aim of this section is to prove a version of this result for Filippov algebroids.

We need a graded version of Filippov algebra of order n.

3.1. **Definition.** A *graded Filippov algebra* of order n is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded real vector space $\mathcal{B} = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}^i$ equipped with a multilinear bracket

$$[\ , \dots ,\ ] : \mathcal{B} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

1. $[\mathcal{B}^{i_1}, \dots, \mathcal{B}^{i_n}] \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_n}$;
2. Graded anti-commutativity:
$$[a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] = -(-1)^{|a_i||a_{i+1}|}[a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}, a_i, \dots, a_n],\ a_i \in \mathcal{B}^{|a_i|};$$
3. Graded fundamental identity:
$$[a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, [b_1, \dots, b_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_i], \dots, b_n],$$
holds for homogeneous elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}^0$ and $b_k \in \mathcal{B}^{i_k}$.

Note that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a graded Filippov algebra of order n, then the bracket $[\ , \dots ,\ ]$ restricted to $\mathcal{B}^0$, makes it a Filippov algebra of order n. Next, we introduce a natural n-ary generalizations of Gerstenhaber algebras, which we call *n-Gerstenhaber algebras*.

3.2. **Definition.** Let R be a ring and C be an R-algebra. An *n-Gerstenhaber algebra* over C is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative, associative C-algebra $(\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}^i, \wedge)$ with an R-multilinear operation

$$[\ , \dots ,\ ] : \mathcal{A} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A},\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto [a_1, \dots, a_n],$$

satisfying

(i) $[a_1, \dots, a_n] \in \mathcal{A}^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_n| - (n-1)}$,
(ii) $[a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n] = -(-1)^{(|a_i|-1)(|a_{i+1}|-1)}[a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}, a_i, \dots, a_n]$, for all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}^{|a_i|}$;

(iii) $[a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, [b_1, \ldots, b_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, [a_1, \ldots a_{n-1}, b_i], \ldots b_n]$, for all $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}^1$ and $b_k \in \mathcal{A}^{|b_k|}$;

(iv) for all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}^{|a_i|}$, $b \in \mathcal{A}^{|b|}$, and $c \in \mathcal{A}$

$$[a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b \wedge c] = [a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b] \wedge c + (-1)^{[(|a_1|-1)+\cdots+(|a_{n-1}|-1)]|b|} b \wedge [a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, c].$$

The n-linear operation in the above Definition will be called an *n-Gerstenhaber bracket.*

3.3. *Remark.* An n-Gerstenhaber algebra consists of a triple $(\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}^i, \wedge, [\ ,\ldots,\ ])$ such that

(1) $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge)$ is a graded commutative, associative algebra;
(2) $(\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}^{(i)}, [\ ,\ldots,\ ])$ with $\mathcal{A}^{(i)} = \mathcal{A}^{i+1}$, is a graded Filippov algebra of order n;
(3) for each fixed $a_i \in \mathcal{A}^{|a_i|}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, $[a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1},\ ]$ is a derivation with respect to $\wedge$ of degree $(|a_1| - 1) + \cdots (|a_{n-1}| - 1)$.

3.4. **Example.** Let $(\mathcal{B}, [\ ,\ldots,\ ])$ be a Filippov algebra of order n. The commutative, associative graded algebra $\Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{B}$ (with respect to the standard wedge product $\wedge$) may be given an n-Gerstenhaber algebra structure extending the given bracket defined as follows:

$$[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = 0, \text{ if some } a_j \in \Lambda^0 \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R},$$

$$[a_1, \ldots, a_n] \text{ is the given bracket if } |a_i| = 1 \text{ for all } i$$

and extend the bracket on $\Lambda^\bullet \mathcal{B}$ uniquely by using the conditions (ii) and (iv) of Definition 3.2.

For a vector bundle A over M, the graded $C^\infty(M)$-module $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A) = \oplus_{k \geq 0} \Gamma(\Lambda^k A)$ is a graded commutative associative algebra. We prove

3.5. **Theorem.** *Let A be a smooth vector bundle over M. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of n-Lie algebroid structures on A and the set of all n-Gerstenhaber brackets on the graded commutative, associative algebra $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A), \wedge)$ making it an n-Gerstenhaber algebra.*

Proof. Let $A \to M$ be an n-Lie algebroid and $\rho : \Lambda^{n-1} A \to TM$ be the associated anchor map. We extend the n-Lie algebra bracket $[\ ,\ldots,\ ]$ on $\Gamma(A) = \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A)$ to an n-Gerstenhaber bracket $[\ ,\ldots,\ ]$ on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$ as follows. For $X_1,\ X_2, \ldots, X_{n-1} \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^0 A)$,

$$[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n-1}, f] := \rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1})(f).$$

Using the conditions (ii) and (iv) of Definition 3.2, extend the bracket $[\ ,\ldots,\ ]$ to all of $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$. It remains to show that this extended bracket on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$ satisfies condition (iii) of Definition 3.2.

For $X_i,\ Y_i \in \Gamma A$ we have the identity

$$[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, X_i], \ldots, Y_n].$$

Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. From condition (ii) of Definition 2.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1}), \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})](f) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})(f) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \dots, Y_{n-1}, f].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1})\rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1}) - \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1}))(f) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \dots, Y_{n-1}, f].
\end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, f]] - [Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, f]] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \dots, Y_{n-1}, f].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, f]] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \dots, Y_{n-1}, f] + [Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, f]].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by skew-symmetry, we can say that the property (iii) of the Definition 3.2 holds if one of entry $Y_i = f \in C^\infty(M) = \Gamma\Lambda^0 A$.

Let the identity hold for any multisections $Y_j \in \Gamma\Lambda^{m_j} A$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In other words, for any $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \Gamma A$ and $Y_j \in \Gamma\Lambda^{m_j} A$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we assume

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n]] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} [Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \dots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n].
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that for any $Y \in \Gamma A$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n \wedge Y]] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \dots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n \wedge Y] \\
&+ [Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_n \wedge Y]].
\end{aligned}$$

To prove our claim, we compute either side of the above equality and show that they are equal.

Let $Y \in \Gamma A$ and $\theta = ((m_1 - 1) + \cdots + (m_n - 1))m_n$. Applying condition (iv) of the Definition 3.2 repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n \wedge Y]]\\
&= [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge Y + (-1)^{\theta} Y_n \wedge [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y]]\\
&= [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge Y] + (-1)^{\theta} [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_n \wedge [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y]]\\
&= [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n]] \wedge Y + [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y]\\
&+ (-1)^{\theta} [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y]\\
&+ (-1)^{\theta} Y_n \wedge [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y]].
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n \wedge Y]\\
&+ [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_n \wedge Y]]\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge Y\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{\theta} Y_n \wedge [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y]\\
&+ [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge Y + Y_n \wedge [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y]]\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge Y\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{\theta} Y_n \wedge [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y]\\
&+ [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_n]] \wedge Y + (-1)^{\theta} [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_n] \wedge [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, Y]\\
&+ [Y_1, \ldots, Y_n] \wedge [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y] + (-1)^{\theta} Y_n \wedge [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y]].
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing terms from the above computations and using the assumption establishes our claim. By induction we may now conclude that the extended bracket satisfies condition (iii) of the Definition 3.2. Hence $\Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet} A)$ has an n-Gerstenhaber algebra structure.

Conversely, assume that there is an n-Gerstenhaber bracket $[\ ,\ldots,\ ]$ on the graded commutative, associative algebra $(\Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet} A), \wedge)$ which makes it an n-Gerstenhaber algebra. The restriction of this bracket to $\Gamma(A)$ makes $\Gamma(A)$ an n-Lie algebra. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^0 A)$. Then by condition (iv) of Definition 3.2, we have

$$[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, fg] = [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, f]g + f[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, g].$$

Thus, $[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1},\ ]$ defines a vector field on M given by

$$f \mapsto [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, f].$$

Hence, we have a linear map

$$\rho : \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}A) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M),\ \ \rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1}) = [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1},\ ].$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(gX_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1})(f) &= [X_1, \ldots, gX_{n-1}, f]\\ &= -[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-2}, f, gX_{n-1}]\\ &= -[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-2}, f, g] \wedge X_{n-1} - g[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-2}, f, X_{n-1}]\\ &= g[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-2}, X_{n-1}, f]\\ &= g\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1})(f).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ρ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear and hence it defines a vector bundle morphism

$$\Lambda^{n-1}A \longrightarrow TM,$$

also denoted by ρ. Now let $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1} \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&[\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1}), \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})](f)\\ &= \rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1})\rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})(f) - \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1})(f)\\ &= [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, f]] - [Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, f]]\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[Y_1, \ldots Y_{i-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i], \ldots, Y_{n-1}, f]\\ &+ [Y_1, \ldots Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, f]] - [Y_1 \ldots, Y_{n-1}, [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, f]]\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{i-1} \wedge [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})(f).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,
$[\rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n-1}), \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})]$
$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{i-1} \wedge [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{n-1})$.

For $Y \in \Gamma(E)$,

$$\begin{aligned}[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, fY] &= f[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y] + [X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, f] \wedge Y\\ &= f[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Y] + \rho(X_1 \wedge \cdots X_{n-1})(f)Y.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(A, [\ , \ldots,\ ], \rho)$ forms an n-Lie algebroid. □

4. Linear Nambu structures

It is well-known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebroid structure on a smooth vector bundle A over a smooth manifold M and linear Poisson structures on the dual bundle A^* [7]. In this section we introduce a notion of *linear Nambu structure* on a smooth vector bundle A and prove that if A is equipped with a linear Nambu structure of order n then its dual bundle A^* is a Filippov algebroid of order n.

Let $p : A \longrightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle. Suppose $p^* : A^* \longrightarrow M$ be the dual bundle. Then a section $\alpha \in \Gamma A^*$ determines a fibre-wise linear function on A, denoted by l_α and is defined by

$$l_\alpha(a_m) = \alpha(m)(a_m),\ a_m \in A_m,\ m \in M.$$

A basic function on A is a function of the type $f \circ p$ where $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

4.1. **Definition.** Let $p : A \longrightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle. A Nambu structure $(A, \{\ ,\cdots,\ \})$ of order n on the total space A is called a *fibre wise linear Nambu structure* or *linear Nambu structure* of order n if it satisfies the following properties.

: The bracket of n linear functions is a linear function.
: The bracket of $(n-1)$ linear functions and a basic function is a basic function.
: The bracket of functions where there are more that one basic functions as entries is zero.

Let $p : A \longrightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle with a linear Nambu structure $(A, \{\ ,\cdots,\ \})$ of order n on A. Let $\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2, \dots,\ \alpha_n \in \Gamma A^*$. Then $l_{\alpha_i},\ i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are linear functions on A.

Let $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in \Gamma A^*$ be such that

$$\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, l_{\alpha_n}\} = l_{[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]}.$$

Observe that for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \Gamma A^*$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, f \circ p\}$$

is a basic function. Moreover, it is straight forward to check that $\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, f \circ p\}$ is a derivation with respect to f. Therefore, there exists a vector field $\rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{\alpha_{n-1}})$ such that

$$\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, f \circ p\} = \rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})(f) \circ p.$$

Note that for $g \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, l_{g\alpha_{n-1}}, f \circ p\} = \rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g\alpha_{n-1})(f) \circ p.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, l_{g\alpha_{n-1}}, f \circ p\} &= \{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, (g \circ p) l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, f \circ p\} \text{ (because, } l_{g\alpha} = (g \circ p) l_\alpha) \\
&= (g \circ p)\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, f \circ p\} + l_{\alpha_{n-1}}\{l_{\alpha_1}, \dots, g \circ p, f \circ p\} \\
&= (g \circ p)\rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})(f) \circ p \\
&= (g\rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})(f)) \circ p.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, ρ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear, hence yields a vector bundle map

$$\rho : \Lambda^{n-1} A^* \longrightarrow TM.$$

4.2. **Theorem.** *Let $p : A \longrightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle with a linear Nambu structure $(A, \{\ ,\cdots,\ \})$ of order n on A. For $\alpha_1,\ \alpha_2, \dots,\ \alpha_n \in \Gamma A^*$, let $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in \Gamma A^*$ be such* *that* $\{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_n}\} = l_{[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n]}$. *Then this bracket on* ΓA^* *together with the vector bundle map* $\rho : \Lambda^{n-1}A^* \longrightarrow TM$ *defines a Filippov algebroid structure of order* n *on the dual bundle* A^*.

Proof. The bracket $[\ ,\ldots,\ ]$ on ΓA^* is skew symmetric and satisfies fundamental identity as so does the bracket $\{\ ,\ldots,\ \}$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} \in \Gamma A^*$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\{l_{\beta_1}, \ldots, l_{[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta_i]}, \ldots, l_{\beta_{n-1}}, f\circ p\}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{i-1}\wedge[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta_i]\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1})(f)\circ p.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\{l_{\beta_1}, \ldots, l_{[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta_i]}, \ldots, l_{\beta_{n-1}}, f\circ p\}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\{l_{\beta_1}, \ldots, \{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, l_{\beta_i}\}, \ldots, l_{\beta_{n-1}}, f\circ p\}\\ &= \{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \{l_{\beta_1}, \ldots, l_{\beta_{n-1}}, f\circ p\}\} - \{l_{\beta_1}, \ldots, l_{\beta_{n-1}}, \{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, f\circ p\}\}\\ &= \rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1})\rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1})(f)\circ p - \rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1})\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1})(f)\circ p\\ &= [\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1}), \rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1})](f)\circ p.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&[\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1}), \rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1})]\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\rho(\beta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{i-1}\wedge[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta_i]\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f\beta] = f[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta] + \rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1})(f)\beta.$$

This follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&l_{[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},f\beta]}\\ &= \{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, l_{f\beta}\}\\ &= \{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, (f\circ p)l_\beta\}\\ &= (f\circ p)\{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, l_\beta\} + \{l_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, l_{\alpha_{n-1}}, f\circ p\}l_\beta\\ &= (f\circ p)l_{[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta]} + (\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1})(f)\circ p)l_\beta\\ &= l_{f[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta]} + l_{\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1})(f)\beta}\\ &= l_{f[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta]+\rho(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_{n-1})(f)\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(A^*, [\ ,\ldots,\ ], \rho)$ is a Filippov algebroid of oder n. □

4.3. *Remark.* It may be noted that linear Nambu structure of order n is a generalization of the notion of linear Poisson structure and for $n > 2$, the converse of the above result need not hold, in fact, for any n-Lie algebra ($n > 2$) $\mathcal{B}$, the corresponding n-vector field on $\mathcal{B}^*$ need not be locally decomposable ([1]).

5. Weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid

The aim of this section is to introduce a notion of *weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid* structure on a smooth vector bundle and to show that such structures arise naturally from Nambu-Poisson manifolds of order $n \geq 3$.

Let (M, $\{\ ,\dots,\ \}$) be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order $n \geq 3$. Let $P \in \Gamma(\Lambda^n TM)$ be the corresponding Nambu-Poisson tensor defined by

$$P(df_1,\dots,df_n) = \{f_1,\dots,f_n\},$$

for $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(M)$. P defines a bundle mapping

$$\sharp_P : \underbrace{T^*M \times \cdots \times T^*M}_{n-1 \text{ times}} \longrightarrow TM$$

given by

$$\langle \beta, \sharp_P(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1})\rangle = P(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},\beta)$$

where all the arguments are covectors. Recall that P-Hamiltonion vector field of $(n-1)$ functions $f_1,\dots,f_{n-1}$ is defined by

$$X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}} = \sharp_P(df_1,\dots,df_{n-1}).$$

Then we have an n-ary operation $[\ ,\dots,\ ]$ ([10]), called *Nambu-form-bracket* on the space of 1-forms defined as follows

5.1. **Definition.**

$$\begin{aligned}[][\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n] &= d(P(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n+k}\iota_{\sharp_P(\alpha_1,\dots,\widehat{\alpha}_k,\dots,\alpha_n)}d\alpha_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n+k}\mathcal{L}_{\sharp_P(\alpha_1,\dots,\widehat{\alpha}_k,\dots,\alpha_n)}\alpha_k - (n-1)d(P(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_k,\ k = 1,\dots,n$ are 1-forms on M and $\mathcal{L}_X$ denotes the Lie derivative operator with respect to a vector field X.

We have the following proposition from [10].

5.2. **Proposition.** *For a Nambu-Poisson manifold $(M, \{\ ,\dots,\ \})$ of order n, the Nambu-form-bracket on the space of 1-forms satisfies the following properties.*

(1) The Nambu-form-bracket is skew-symmetric;

(2) $[df_1,\dots,df_n] = d\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}$ for all $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(M)$;

(3) for any 1*-forms* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ *and for all* $f \in C^\infty(M)$*,*

$$[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f\alpha_n] = f[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n] + \sharp_P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})(f)\alpha_n;$$

(4) for any 1*-form* α *and for all* $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$*,*

$$[df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, \alpha] = \mathcal{L}_{X_{f_1,\ldots,f_{n-1}}}\alpha;$$

(5) for any 1*-forms* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ *and for all* $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$*,*

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{f_1,\ldots,f_{n-1}}}[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{X_{f_1,\ldots,f_{n-1}}}\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_n];$$

From the properties (4) and (5) of the Proposition 5.2 we get

5.3. **Corollary.** *For any* 1*-forms* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ *and for all* $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$*, the following identity holds*

$$[df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, [df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, \alpha_i], \ldots, \alpha_n].$$

5.4. *Remark.* Since any closed form is locally exact, it follows from the the Corollary 5.3 that the fundamental identity

$$[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, [\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{i-1}, [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_i], \ldots, \beta_n]$$

holds whenever the 1-forms $\alpha_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ are closed.

In order to understand the above properties of Nambu-Poisson manifolds in the proper perspective, we introduce a notion of a *weak-Lie-Filippov bialgebroid.*

5.5. **Definition.** A weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n > 2$ over a smooth manifold M consists of a pair (A, A^*), where $A \longrightarrow M$ is a smooth vector bundle with dual bundle $A^* \longrightarrow M$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) The vector bundle $A \longrightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid with d_A being the differential of the Lie algebroid cohomology of A with trivial representation;

(2) the space of smooth sections ΓA^* admits a skew-symmetric n-ary bracket

$$[\ ,\ldots,\ ] : \underbrace{\Gamma A^* \times \cdots \times \Gamma A^*}_{n \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \Gamma A^*$$

satisfying

$$[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, [\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{i-1}, [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_i], \ldots, \beta_n]$$

for all d_A-closed sections $\alpha_i \in \Gamma A^*,\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and for any sections $\beta_j \in \Gamma A^*,\ 1 \leq j \leq n$;

(3) there exists a vector bundle morphism $\rho : \Lambda^{n-1}A^* \longrightarrow TM$, called the anchor of the pair (A, A^*), such that the identity

$$[\rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}), \rho(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})$$

holds for all d_A-closed sections $\alpha_i \in \Gamma A^*,\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and for any sections $\beta_j \in \Gamma A^*,\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1$;

(4) for all sections $\alpha_i \in \Gamma A^*,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$ and any $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f\alpha_n] = f[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n] + \rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})(f)\alpha_n$$

holds.

5.6. *Remark.* Thus for a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (A, A^*) A is a Lie algebroid and A^* is almost a Filippov algebroid over M except that some of the defining conditions are restricted (cf. Definition 2.5).

In order to prove a classification result for weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroids similar to that of Filippov algebroids we introduce the following definition.

5.7. **Definition.** Let R be a ring and C be an R-algebra. A *Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order* n over C is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative, associative C-algebra $(\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{A}^i, \wedge)$ equipped with

(1) a differential δ on $\mathcal{A}$, which is a derivation of degree 1 with respect to $\wedge$, that is, $\delta : \mathcal{A}^i \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{i+1}$ is a R-linear map such that $\delta^2 = 0$ and

$$\delta(a \wedge b) = \delta a \wedge b + (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge b$$

for homogeneous elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$;

(2) an R-multilinear operation

$$[\ ,\ldots,\ ] : \mathcal{A} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A},\ (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \mapsto [a_1, \ldots, a_n],$$

called Nambu-Gerstenhaber n-ary bracket, satisfying

  (i) $[a_1, \ldots, a_n] \in \mathcal{A}^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_n|-(n-1)}$,

  (ii) $[a_1, \ldots, a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n] = -(-1)^{(|a_i|-1)(|a_{i+1}|-1)}[a_1, \ldots, a_{i+1}, a_i, \ldots, a_n]$, for all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}^{|a_i|}$;

  (iii) $[a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, [b_1, \ldots, b_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^n [b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, [a_1, \ldots a_{n-1}, b_i], \ldots b_n]$, for all δ-closed $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}^1$ and $b_k \in \mathcal{A}^{|b_k|}$;

  (iv) $[a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b \wedge c]$

$$= [a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b] \wedge c + (-1)^{[(|a_1|-1)+\cdots+(|a_{n-1}|-1)]|b|} b \wedge [a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, c]$$

  holds for all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}^{|a_i|}$, $b \in \mathcal{A}^{|b|}$, and $c \in \mathcal{A}$.

A Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order n is denoted by the quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, [\ ,\ldots,\ ], \delta)$.

5.8. **Theorem.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroids (A, A^*) of order $n > 2$ over a smooth manifold M and Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra structures of order n on the graded $C^\infty(M)$-modules $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$.*

Proof. Let $A \longrightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle such that (A, A^*) forms a weak Lie Filippov bialgebroid of order $n > 2$. We extend the given n-ary bracket $[\ ,\dots,\ ]$ on ΓA^* to an n-ary bracket on $\Gamma\Lambda^\bullet A^*$ as follows. For $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1} \in \Gamma A^*$ and $f \in C^\infty(M) = \Gamma\Lambda^0 A^*$, we define

$$[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1}, f] := \rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})(f)$$

where ρ is the anchor of the pair (A, A^*). One can now extend the bracket $[\ ,\dots,\ ]$ uniquely to $\Gamma\Lambda^\bullet A^*$ by induction using conditions 2 (ii) and 2 (iv) of the Definition 5.7. Then by an argument similar to the first half of the proof of the Theorem 3.5, one shows that condition 2 (iii) of the Definition 5.7 holds. Thus

$$(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*), \wedge, [\ ,\dots,\ ], d_A)$$

is Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order n.

Conversely, suppose that

$$(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*), \wedge, [\ ,\dots,\ ], \delta)$$

is a Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order n $(n > 2)$. Then the differential δ induces a Lie algebroid structure on A with $d_A = \delta$ as the differential operator for the Lie algebroid cochain complex [11]. The restriction of the bracket $[\ ,\dots,\ ]$ to ΓA^* satisfies condition (2) of the Definition 5.5. Next, observe that for $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1} \in \Gamma A^*$ and $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1}, fg] = [\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1}, f]g + f[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1}, g].$$

Thus, $[\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},\ ]$ defines a vector field on M, giving us a $C^\infty(M)$-linear map

$$\Gamma\Lambda^{n-1}A^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M),\ \ \rho(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}) := [\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1},\ ]$$

and hence, a vector bundle map

$$\rho : \Lambda^{n-1}A^* \longrightarrow TM.$$

Rest of the proof is similar to the last part of the proof of the Theorem 3.5 and we omit the details. ☐

5.9. *Remark.* Given a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (A, A^*) of order n over M, we shall refer the induced n-ary bracket on $\Gamma\Lambda^\bullet A^*$ as induced Nambu-Gestenhaber bracket.

We are now in a position to prove one of the main results of this section.

5.10. **Theorem.** *Let* $(M, \{\ ,\ \dots,\ \})$ *be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order* $n \geq 3$. *Let* $P \in \Gamma(\Lambda^n TM)$ *be the corresponding Nambu-Poisson tensor defined by*

$$P(df_1,\dots,df_n) = \{f_1,\dots,f_n\},$$

for $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(M)$. *Then the pair* (TM, T^*M) *is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order* $n \geq 3$ *over* M.

Proof. The bundle mapping

$$\sharp_P : \underbrace{T^*M \times \cdots \times T^*M}_{n-1 \text{ times}} \longrightarrow TM,$$

$$\langle \beta, \sharp_P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \rangle = P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta),$$

induced by P, where all the arguments are covectors, may be viewed as a vector bundle map

$$P^\sharp : \Lambda^{n-1}T^*M \longrightarrow TM \tag{1}$$

$$\left\langle \beta, P^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}) \right\rangle := \langle \beta, \sharp_P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \rangle \,,$$

where all the arguments are covectors.

From Definition 5.1 we may write the Nambu-form-bracket on the space of 1-forms as

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] &= d(P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \iota_{P^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n)} d\alpha_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \mathcal{L}_{P^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\alpha}_k \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_n)} \alpha_k - (n-1) d(P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_k,\ k = 1, \ldots, n$ are 1-forms on M. On TM we take the usual Lie algebroid structure and consider the vector bundle map (1) as the anchor. Then by properties (1), (3), of the Proposition 5.2 and by the Remark 5.4, all the defining conditions (cf. Definition 5.5) for the pair (TM, T^*M) to be a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n \geq 3$ over M hold modulo proving

$$[P^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}), P^\sharp(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P^\sharp(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})$$

for all d-closed 1-forms $\alpha_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and for any 1-forms $\beta_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, on M. To prove the above equality, we use the definition of the anchor $P^\sharp$ and Remark 5.4.

We first prove the equality for exact 1-forms $\alpha_i = df_i$ and $\beta_j = dg_j,\ f_i, g_j \in C^\infty(M)$. Observe that for any $h \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1}), P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})](h) \\
&= P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1}) P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})(h) \\
&- P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1}) P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1})(h) \\
&= \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, \{g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, h\}\} - \{g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h\}\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \{g_1, \ldots g_{i-1}, \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g_i\}, \ldots, g_{n-1}, h\} \\
&+ \{g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h\}\} - \{g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h\}\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \{g_1, \ldots g_{i-1}, \{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g_i\}, \ldots, g_{n-1}, h\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{i-1} \wedge d\{f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g_i\} \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})(h) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{i-1} \wedge [df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, dg_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})(h).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, replacing dg_1 by fdg_1, where $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and using the above steps, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1}), P^\sharp(fdg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})]\\
&= [P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1}), fP^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})]\\
&= f[P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1}), P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})]\\
&+ P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1})(f)P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})\\
&= f\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{i-1} \wedge [df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, dg_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})\\
&+ P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1})(f)P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})\\
&= fP^\sharp([df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, dg_1] \wedge dg_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})\\
&+ f\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{i-1} \wedge [df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, dg_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})\\
&+ P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1})(f)P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})\\
&= P^\sharp([df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, fdg_1] \wedge dg_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1})\\
&+ \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} P^\sharp(fdg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{i-1} \wedge [df_1, \ldots, df_{n-1}, dg_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since closed forms are locally exact, we may conclude using the above obervations that for all closed 1-forms $\alpha_i,\ 1 \le i \le n-1$ and for any 1-forms $\beta_j,\ 1 \le j \le n-1$, on M the equality

$$[P^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}), P^\sharp(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P^\sharp(\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_i] \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{n-1})$$

holds. This completes the proof. □

5.11. *Remark.* Nambu structures on Lie algebroids are further generalizations of Nambu-Poisson manifold [12]. Let $A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid. Let $n \in \mathbb{N},\ 3 \le n \le m = \text{ rank } A$. Let d_A denote the coboundary operator of the Lie algebroid cohomology complex of A.

Recall that a smooth section $\Pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^n A)$ is said to be a *Nambu structure* of order n on A if

$$[\Pi(\alpha), \Pi] = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi(\alpha)}\Pi = (-1)^n(\Pi(d_A\alpha))\Pi = (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\Pi$$

for any $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}A^*)$, where [,] denotes the generalized Schouten bracket on the graded commutative algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$ and ι denotes the contraction operator.

It may be remarked that if A is a Lie algebroid equipped with a Nambu structure of order n such that every d_A-closed section $M \longrightarrow A^*$ is locally d_A-exact, then the above method can be developed to show that the pair (A, A^*) is weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid.

We have just seen that for a Nambu-Poisson manifold M of order n $(n \ge 3)$, the pair (TM, T^*M) is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order $n \ge 3$ over M. Therefore, by Theorem 5.8, there is an n-ary bracket on the graded $C^\infty(M)$-modules $\Gamma\Lambda^\bullet T^*M = \Omega^\bullet(M)$ which together with the wedge product makes it a Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order

n. We end this section proving a result that shows how this n-ary bracket behaves with respect to the exterior differential on M.

5.12. **Proposition.** *Let* $(M, \{\ ,\dots,\ \})$ *be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order* n. *Then for any* 1*-forms* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega^1(M)$, *we have*

$$d[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_i, \dots \alpha_n].$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the identity for $\alpha_i = f_i dg_i$, where $f_i, g_i \in C^\infty(M)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. A direct computation using properties (1) and (3) of the Proposition 5.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1 dg_1, \dots, f_n dg_n] &= f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n [dg_1, \dots, dg_n] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} f_1 \cdots \hat{f_i} \cdots f_n (P^\sharp(dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{dg_i} \wedge \cdots dg_n) f_i) dg_i, \end{aligned}$$

for $f_1, \dots, f_n,\ g_1, \dots, g_n \in C^\infty(M)$.

One can write out $d[f_1 dg_1, \dots, f_n dg_n]$ as a finite linear combination of terms of the form $df_i \wedge dg_j$, $(i \neq j)$, $df_i \wedge dg_i$, $dg_i \wedge dg_j$, $dg_j \wedge dg_i$, $df_i \wedge [dg_1, \dots, dg_n]$ and $[dg_1, \dots, df_i, \dots, dg_n] \wedge dg_i$. The coefficients of these terms are smooth functions on M. Similarly, one can expand

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [f_1 dg_1, \dots, d(f_i dg_i), \dots, f_n dg_n]$$

with respect to the same terms. To check that

$$d[f_1 dg_1, \dots, f_n dg_n] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [f_1 dg_1, \dots, d(f_i dg_i), \dots, f_n dg_n]$$

it suffices to match the coefficients on both quantities term-wise. We use property (2) of the Proposition 5.2 and the fact that

$$[df_1, \dots, df_{n-1}, f_n] = P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{n-1}) f_n = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$$

to observe that

1. the coefficients of $df_i \wedge dg_j$, $i \neq j$, are the same in both the quantities;
2. the coefficients of $df_i \wedge dg_i$ are zero;
3. the coefficients of $dg_i \wedge dg_j$ are the same as the coefficients of $dg_j \wedge dg_i$ and hence they cancel each other in one quantity while it is zero in the other;
4. the coefficients of $df_i \wedge [dg_1, \dots, dg_n]$ are the same in both the quantities;
5. the coefficients of $[dg_1, \dots, df_i, \dots, dg_n] \wedge dg_i$ are the same in both the quantities.

This completes the proof. □

6. LIE-FILIPPOV BIALGEBROID

The notion of a Lie bialgebroid was introduced by Mackenzie and Xu [8], as a generalization of both Poisson manifold and Lie bialgebra. Lie bialgebroids are the infinitesimal form of Poisson groupoids.

Recall that a Lie bialgebroid is a pair (A, A^*) of Lie algebroids in duality, satisfying the compatibility condition

$$d_*[X, Y] = [d_*X, Y] + [X, d_*Y],$$

for all $X,\ Y \in \Gamma A$. Here d_* is the differential on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$ defined by the Lie algebroid structure of A^* and $[\ ,\ ]$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$ defined by the Lie algebroid structure on A. Note that this condition is equivalent to the condition (16) in [8].

Let d_A be the coboundary operator in the Lie algebroid complex $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$ of the Lie algebroid A and $[\ ,\ ]_*$ be the Gerstenhaber bracket in $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$ extending the Lie bracket of ΓA^*. Then the compatibility condition may be stated equivalently as

$$d_A[\alpha, \beta]_* = [d_A\alpha, \beta]_* + [\alpha, d_A\beta]_*,$$

for all $\alpha,\ \beta \in \Gamma A^*$ ([5], [8]).

The aim of this section is to introduce a generalization of the notion of Lie bialgebroid, which we call *Lie-Filippov bialgebroid.* Roughly speaking, a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n is a pair (A, A^*) consisting of a Lie algebroid A over a smooth manifold M such that the space of sections ΓA^* of the dual bundle A^* admits an n-ary bracket making the pair (A, A^*) a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n over M and the Lie algebroid structure on A and the the induced Nambu-Gerstenhaber bracket on $\Gamma\Lambda^\bullet A^*$ are related by some suitable compatibility condition. This compatibility condition may be viewed as a generalization of the equivalent compatibility condition for Lie bialgebroids as stated above. Finally, we prove some results which are consequences of this structure.

We begin with a motivating example.

6.1. **Example.** Let M be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n. Then by the Theorem 5.10, in the previous section, we know that the pair (TM, T^*M) is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n over M. Moreover, by the Proposition 5.12, the induced Nambu-Gerstenhaber bracket (cf. Remark 5.9) on $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ satisfies

$$d[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_1, \ldots, d\alpha_i, \ldots \alpha_n],\ \ \alpha_i \in \Omega^1(M).$$

6.2. **Definition.** Let A be a smooth vector bundle over a smooth manifold M. Then the pair (A, A^*) is said to be a *Lie-Filippov bialgebroid* of order n, $(n \geq 3)$ over M, if

(1) the pair (A, A^*) is a weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n over M;

(2) and the following compatibility condition holds.

$$d_A[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_n],$$

for any $\alpha_i \in \Gamma A^*$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, where d_A is the Lie algebroid coboundary operator for the Lie algebroid cohomology of A with coefficients in the trivial representation and $[\ ,\ldots,\ ]$ is the Filippov-Gestenhaber bracket on the graded algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$, corresponding to the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (A, A^*) over M.

The anchor ρ of the weak Lie-Filippov bialgebroid is called the anchor of the Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (A, A^*).

From the Theorem 5.10 and the Proposition 5.12, we deduce

6.3. **Corollary.** *Suppose M is a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n, $(n \geq 3)$. Then the pair (TM, T^*M) is a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n, over M.*

Next, we deduce a classification theorem for Lie-Filippov bialgebroids of order n.

Recall the following definitions from [11].

6.4. **Definition.** A *differential Gerstenhaber algebra* is a Gerstenhaber algebra equipped with a differential δ, which is a derivation of degree 1 with respect to $\wedge$ and $\delta^2 = 0$. It is called a *strong differential Gerstenhaber algebra* if, in addition, δ is a derivation of graded Lie bracket.

Given a smooth vector bundle A over M, there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebroid pairs (A, A^*) and strong differential Gerstenhaber structures on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A)$ (cf. [11]). Note that Lie bialgebroid is a dual concept, in the sense that, if (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid so is (A^*, A) (cf. [5,8]). Hence, there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebroids (A, A^*) and strong differential Gerstenhaber structures on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$.

We prove an n-ary version of the above result by introducing a notion of *strong Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order n*.

6.5. **Definition.** A Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, [\ ,\dots,\ ], \delta)$ of order n is said to be a *strong Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order n* if the differential δ on $\mathcal{A}$, is a derivation of the graded Nambu-Gerstenhaber n-ary bracket on $\mathcal{A}$.

The following result is immediate from the Theorem 5.8 and the Definitions 6.2, 6.5.

6.6. **Proposition.** *Let A be a smooth vector bundle over a smooth manifold M. Then (A, A^*) is a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n if and only if $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*), \wedge)$ is a strong Nambu-Gerstenhaber algebra of order n.*

In [5,8], the authors showed that if A is a smooth vector bundle over a smooth manifold M such that (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid then there is a canonical Poisson structure on the base manifold M. It is interesting to investigate this aspect in the case when (A, A^*) is a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid. To this end, we need the following useful lemma.

6.7. **Lemma.** *Let (A, A^*) be a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n over a smooth manifold M. Let $[\ ,\dots,\ ]$ be the induced Nambu-Gerstenhaber n-ary bracket on $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$. For any $\alpha_i \in \Gamma A^*,\ i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$d_A[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, f] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[\alpha_1, \dots, d_A\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, f] + [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, d_A f].$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_n \in \Gamma A^*$. Note that

$$d_A[\alpha_1, \dots, f\alpha_n] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[\alpha_1, \dots, d_A\alpha_i, \dots, f\alpha_n] + [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, d_A(f\alpha_n)].$$

We simplify the left hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} d_A[\alpha_1, \ldots, f\alpha_n] &= d_A([\alpha_1, \ldots, f] \wedge \alpha_n + f[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]) \\ &= d_A[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f] \wedge \alpha_n + [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f] d_A\alpha_n \\ &\quad + d_A f \wedge [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] + f d_A[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_i, \ldots, f\alpha_n] + [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, d_A(f\alpha_n)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ([\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_i, \ldots, f] \wedge \alpha_n + f[\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_n]) \\ &+ [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, d_A f \wedge \alpha_n] + [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f d_A\alpha_n] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_i, \ldots, f] \wedge \alpha_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f[\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_n] \\ &+ [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, d_A f] \wedge \alpha_n + d_A f \wedge [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] \\ &+ [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f] d_A\alpha_n + f[\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing right hand sides of the above equalities we deduce

$$d_A[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, f] \wedge \alpha_n = (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\alpha_1, \ldots, d_A\alpha_i, \ldots, f] + [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, d_A f]) \wedge \alpha_n.$$

Thus, the result follows as α_n is arbitrary. □

In order to formulate the next theorem we need to formulate a notion of morphisms between Lie-Filippov bialgebroids.

6.8. **Definition.** Suppose (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) are two Lie-Filippov bialgebroids of order n over M. A Lie-Filippov bialgebroid morphism $f : (A, A^*) \to (B, B^*)$ is a morphism $f : A \to B$ of Lie algebroids so that $f^* : B^* \to A^*$ preserves the n-ary brackets and commutes with the anchors of (A, A^*) and (B, B^*).

6.9. **Theorem.** *Let (A, A^*) be a Lie-Filippov bialgebroid of order n over a smooth manifold M for $n \geq 3$. Then there is a canonical Nambu-Poisson structure of order n on M such that the anchor $a : A \to TM$ of the Lie algebroid A is a morphism of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids $(A, A^*) \to (TM, T^*M)$. If there is a morphism $(A, A^*) \to (B, B^*)$ of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids, the corresponding induced Nambu-Poisson structures on M are the same.*

Proof. Define an n-bracket on $C^\infty(M)$ by

$$\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} := \rho(d_A f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_A f_{n-1}) f_n = [d_A f_1, \ldots, d_A f_{n-1}, f_n],$$

where d_A is the coboundary operator of the Lie algebroid cohomolgy of A with coefficients in the trivial representation, ρ is the anchor of the Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (A, A^*) and $[\ , \ldots, \ ]$ is the induced Nambu-Gerstenhaber bracket on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^*)$.

From the Lemma 6.7, it follows that

$$d_A\{f_1,\ldots,f_n\} \;=\; [d_Af_1,\ldots,d_Af_n]. \tag{2}$$

Clearly, the bracket is skew-symmetric in the first $(n-1)$ entries, so to prove that the above defined bracket is skew-symmetric, it suffices to check the skew-symmetry with respect to the last two entries.

Note that for any $f_1,\ldots,,f_{n-2},f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$d_A\{f_1,\ldots,f_{n-2},f,f\} \;=\; [d_Af_1,\ldots,d_Af_{n-2},d_Af,d_Af] = 0. \tag{3}$$

In particular, $d_A\{f_1,\ldots,f_{n-2},f^2,f^2\} = 0$. Thus, $d_A(\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af^2)(f^2)) = 0$. This, in turn, implies

$$d_A(f\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f^2)) = 0,$$

hence,

$$d_A(f^2\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f)) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$d_A(f^2)\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f) + f^2d_A(\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f)) = 0.$$

By definition of the n-ary bracket and from equation (3), we see that

$$d_A(\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f)) = 0.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f)d_A(f^2) = 0,$$

or,

$$f\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f)d_Af = 0.$$

This implies,

$$f\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f)d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af_{n-2}\wedge d_Af = 0.$$

This means,

$$f\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f)\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af_{n-2}\wedge d_Af)(f) = 0.$$

In other words,

$$f(\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f))^2 = 0.$$

Let $u = (\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f))^2$. We thus have either $f(x) = 0$ or $u(x) = 0$ for every x. Note that $u(x) = 0$ if $f(x) = 0$ in an open neighborhood of x, or if $f(x) \neq 0$. Otherwise, $f(x) = 0$ and $f(x_n) \neq 0$ for a sequence $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows that $u(x_n) = 0$ and hence $u(x) = 0$ by continuity. Therefore, in all cases

$$\rho(d_Af_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d_Af)(f) = \{f_1,\ldots,f_{n-2},f,f\} = 0,$$

which proves that the n-ary bracket defined on $C^\infty(M)$ is skew-symmetric.

From the definition of the bracket, it is clear that it satisfies the derivation property. We prove the fundamental identity for the bracket using Hamiltonian formalism. For

$f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$, define a vector field $X_{f_1 \dots f_{n-1}}$ by

$$X_{f_1 \dots f_{n-1}} = \rho(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})$$

so that for $g \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$X_{f_1 \dots f_{n-1}}(g) = \rho(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})(g) = \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g\}.$$

Note that for $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$, and $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[X_{f_1 \dots f_{n-1}}, X_{g_1 \dots g_{n-1}}] &= [\rho(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1}), \rho(d_A g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A g_{n-1})] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(d_A g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge [d_A f_1, \dots, d_A f_{n-1}, d_A g_i] \wedge \dots \wedge d_A g_{n-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(d_A g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_i\} \wedge \dots \wedge d_A g_{n-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_{g_1 \dots \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_i\} \dots g_{n-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}\} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{g_1, \dots, \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_i\}, \dots, g_n\} \\
&= \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}\} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \{g_1, \dots, \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_i\}, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n\} \\
&\quad - \{g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_n\}\} \\
&= X_{f_1 \dots f_{n-1}} X_{g_1 \dots g_{n-1}}(g_n) - X_{g_1 \dots g_{n-1}} X_{f_1 \dots f_{n-1}}(g_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{g_1 \dots \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} g_i\} \dots g_{n-1}}(g_n) \\
&= [X_{f_1 \dots f_{n-1}}, X_{g_1 \dots g_{n-1}}](g_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{g_1 \dots \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_i\} \dots g_{n-1}}(g_n) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that M has a Nambu-Poisson structure of order n. Next we verify that the anchor map $a : A \to TM$ of the Lie algebroid A is, in fact, a morphism $(A, A^*) \to (TM, T^*M)$ of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids. Note that $a^*(df) = d_A f$. The anchor map for any Lie algebroid is a Lie algebroid morphism, thus, it suffices to prove that for 1-forms α_i

$$a^*[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = [a^*\alpha_1, \dots, a^*\alpha_n]$$

and

$$\rho(a^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{n-1})) = P^\sharp(\alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{n-1}),$$

where P is the Nambu-Poisson tensor on M induced from the Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (A, A^*). For the second equation note that the anchor maps are vector bundle morphisms and hence, $C^\infty(M)$-linear. Therefore, it suffices to prove the equation for $\alpha_i = df_i$ for which

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(a^*(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{n-1}))(f_n) &= \rho(d_A f_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})(f_n) \\
&= \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \\
&= P^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{n-1})(f_n).
\end{aligned}$$

For the first equation, we may write each α_i as a linear combination of $f_i dg_i$, and, expand both sides by linearity and the derivation property of the n-bracket. Note that a^* is $C^\infty(M)$-linear and thus it suffices to verify the equation for $\alpha_i = df_i$. We have

$$a^*[df_1, \ldots, df_n] = a^* d\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} = d_A\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} = [d_A f_1, \ldots, d_A f_n] = [a^* df_1, \ldots, a^* df_n].$$

It remains to show that the induced Nambu-Poisson structure in independent up to morphisms of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids. Suppose that there is a morphism of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids $\phi : (A, A^*) \to (B, B^*)$. Let us denote by a_A, the anchor for any Lie algebroid A and by ρ_{A^*}, the anchor for any Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (A, A^*). It implies the existence of a commutative diagram of Lie-Filippov bialgebroids

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(A, A^*) \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[dr, "a_B \circ \phi = a_A"'] & (B, B^*) \arrow[d, "a_B"] \\
& (TM, T^*M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with an induced diagram of vector bundles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*M \arrow[r, "a_B^*"] \arrow[dr, "a_A^*"'] & B^* \arrow[d, "\phi^*"] \\
& A^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\{,\ldots,\}_{(A,A^*)}$ (respectively, $\{,\ldots,\}_{(B,B^*)}$) be the Nambu-Poisson structures induced from (A, A^*) (respectively, (B, B^*)). Using the commutative diagrams above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}_{(A,A^*)} &= \rho_{A^*}(d_A f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})(f_n) \\
&= \rho_{A^*}(a_A^* df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_A^* df_{n-1})(f_n) \\
&= \rho_{A^*}(\phi^* a_B^* df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi^* a_B^* df_{n-1})(f_n) \\
&= \rho_{A^*} \phi^*(a_B^* df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_B^* df_{n-1})(f_n) \\
&= \rho_{B^*}(d_B f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_B f_{n-1})(f_n) \\
&= \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}_{(B,B^*)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

6.10. *Remark.* Observe that for a Nambu-Poisson manifold M of order n, if we consider the Lie-Filippov bialgebroid (TM, T^*M) of Example 6.1, then its induced Nambu-Poisson structure on M coincides with the given Nambu-Poisson structure on M.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Professor Janusz Grabowski for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this paper.

References

[1] J. P. Dufour and N. T. Zung; *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, Progress in Mathematics, **242**, Birkhäuser Verlag, (2005).

[2] V. T. Filippov; *n-Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Math. Zh., **26**, 126-140, (1985).

[3] J. Grabowski and G. Marmo; *On Filippov algebroids and multiplicative Nambu-Poisson structures*, Differential Geometry and its Applications, **12**, 35-50, (2000).

[4] J. Grabowski and G. Marmo; *Jacobi structures revisited*, J. Phys A: Math and Gen., **34**, 10975-10990, (2001).

[5] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach; *Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids*, Acta applicandae Mathematicae, **43**, 153-165, (1995).

[6] J. L. Koszul; *Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and cohomology*, Astérisque, Hors série, 257-271, (1985).

[7] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie; *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, Cambridge University Press.

[8] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie and Ping Xu; *Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids*, Duke Math J. **73**, no.2, 415-452, (1994).

[9] Leon Takhtajan; *On foundation of the Generalized Nambu Mechanics*, Commun. Math Phys., **160**, 295-315, (1994)

[10] Izu Vaisman; *Nambu-Lie groups*, arXiv:math/9812064v1 [math.DG] 10 Dec. (1998).

[11] Ping Xu; *Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry*, Commun. Math. Phys., **200**, 545-560, (1999).

[12] A. Wade; *Nambu-Dirac Structures for Lie Algebroid*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, **61**, 85-99 (2002).

E-mail address: `samik.basu2@gmail.com; samik@rkmvu.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RKMVU, BELUR, HOWRAH-711202, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: `basu.somnath@gmail.com; somnath@rkmvu.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RKMVU, BELUR, HOWRAH-711202, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: `apurbadas348@gmail.com`

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, KOLKATA 700108, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: `gmukherjee.isi@gmail.com`

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, KOLKATA 700108, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.